WILDLIFE ECOLOGY TEAM
WILDLIFE HABITAT RELATIONSHIPS
IN WASHINGTON AND OREGON
FY2012

April 22, 2013

Title:

Demographic characteristics of spotted owls in the Oregon Coast Ranges, 1990–2012.

Principal Investigator and Organizations:

Dr. Eric D. Forsman (PI), USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Corvallis, OR. Lead Biologist: Chris McCafferty. Biologists: Erin Divine, Stephanie Kane, Jason Mowdy, Tim Plawman, Amy Price, Mike Sullivan. Additional contributing Biologists: Brian Meiering, Kristian Skybak, Jim Swingle. Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR.

Study Objective:

The study objective was to elucidate the population ecology of the spotted owl in the Oregon Coast Ranges, to include age and sex specific birth and death rates, and population trend estimates.

Potential Benefit or Utility of the Study:

Information on the demography of spotted owl populations is used to estimate population trends and assess the effects of different management strategies on spotted owls. This study provides data that estimate survival, reproduction, and population parameters of spotted owls relative to landscape features in the Oregon Coast Ranges.

Research Accomplishments:

Study Area and Methods

The study area was located in the Oregon Coast Ranges, principally on public forest lands administered by the Siuslaw National Forest and the Salem and Eugene Districts of the Bureau of Land Management (Fig. 1). Municipal, state, and private timberlands were interspersed within these federal lands. Within the study area we visited 172



Figure 1. Oregon Coast Ranges spotted owl study area.

continuously-monitored spotted owl sites in 2012 to determine residency, nesting status, and reproductive success of all spotted owls detected. We and cooperating surveyors monitored 2 additional sites where spotted owls were initially detected while surveying adjacent demography sites or that were known from previous year's efforts.

Number of Sites Where Spotted Owls Were Detected

The effort to locate, band, and monitor owls consisted of a combination of surveys conducted by us and cooperators from the Bureau of Land Management, private consulting firms, and timber companies. In 2012, we detected owls at 57 of the 172 sites surveyed (Fig. 2). Owls were detected at 55 sites in 2011 (Fig. 2). We detected 90 non-juvenile spotted owls on the study area. Two of these owls were "extra" individuals detected at sites where another owl of the same sex had already been identified. Additional same-sex owl observations have been a feature of all previous seasons except 1996 and 2001 (Appendix A). For the second consecutive year, no subadult owls were observed on the study area (Appendix C). In 2012, the number of sites with resident pairs was 29, which was up from the low count of 20 pairs in 2011 (Fig. 2, Appendix A). We detected single owls at 26 sites. Male and female spotted owls were detected at 2 sites where pair status was not determined to protocol.



Figure 2. Number of sites where spotted owl pairs, singles, or males and females of unknown status were detected on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990–2012.

Proportion of Sites Where Spotted Owls Were Detected

The percent of sites in which a spotted owl was detected has gradually declined over the course of the study from a high of 89 percent in 1991 to a low of 32 percent in 2011, with a slight increase (to 33 percent) over the previous year in 2012 (Fig. 3, Appendix A). In 2012, pairs were observed at 17 percent of the sites, up from 12 percent in 2011. Single owls were observed at 15 percent of the sites surveyed. In 2012, there were 2 sites (1% of total) where both a male and female were detected, but pair status was not established (Fig. 3, Appendix A).



Figure 3. Percent of sites where spotted owl pairs, singles, or males and females of unknown status were detected on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990–2012.

Number of Owls Marked

We banded 329 adult, 75 subadult, and 747 juvenile spotted owls on the study area from 1990-2012 (Appendix B). In 2012, we banded 12 spotted owls on the study area, including 4 adult males, and 1 adult female, and 7 juveniles. Seven adult males and 1 adult female were recaptured on the study area. Of these, 5 constituted initial recapture observations of individuals originally banded as juveniles in previous years, and 3 were individuals whose identity was in question. We also recaptured one adult male spotted owl on a site adjacent to our demographic study area. We banded 3 hybrid young at a site adjacent to the demography study area, as well as the female barred owl parent. One female, and two male barred owls were banded on the study area in 2012.

Emigration and Immigration

We observed 26 owls that dispersed to sites within the study area in 2012. Of these, 5 were initial recaptures of owls originally banded on the study area as juveniles in previous years (natal dispersal). In two of these cases, the owl had been previously observed at the new site, but its identity had not been established in hand. The other 21 dispersal events observed were of owls previously observed elsewhere as non-juveniles (breeding dispersal). Four of these were cases of immigration onto the study area. The remaining 17 breeding dispersals were cases of between site movements within the study area. We observed 1 additional natal dispersal event on an area adjacent to the demography study while assisting cooperators. This owl had been originally banded off study as well. We observed one case of breeding dispersal in which an owl previously on the study area emigrated to an adjacent territory just outside the study area.

Barred Owl Detections

The proportion of sites where at least one barred owl was detected within 1.6 km of the year-specific spotted owl activity center has increased steadily throughout the duration of the study, suggesting a steady increase in the barred owl population (Fig. 4, Appendix A). Our survey methods probably underestimated the number of sites with barred owls because we did not specifically target barred owls during our surveys of spotted owls. The continued increase in the proportion of territories where barred owls were detected is likely due to an increase in barred owl numbers, as well as increased



Figure 4. Proportion of spotted owl sites in which barred owls and spotted owls were detected on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990–2012.

nighttime survey effort at sites where spotted owls have disappeared (Fig. 5). The proportion of total survey time that included surveys at night had more than doubled from 0.35 in 1990 to 0.77 in 2012 (Fig. 5).



Figure 5. Proportion of survey effort conducted at night and dawn or dusk on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990–2012.

Sex Ratio

Over the course of the study, we have consistently observed a slightly greater proportion of males to females in the territorial population. In 2012 we detected 50 males, 36 females, with a 0.16 proportional difference (Appendix C). The mean difference in the annual proportions of known sex owls detected on the study area in 1990–2012 was 0.08 (SE= 0.01; annual range = 0.01–0.17). We suspect that the disproportionate number of males detected is due to sexual differences in detectability rather than a real difference in the population, but this has not been tested.

Reproduction

We documented the nesting status of 28 females in 2012. Twelve of these females made nest attempts (Appendix D). Six of the females who were known to have nested fledged young (Appendix F). Eight young were produced by the sample of nesting females, resulting in mean brood size estimate of 1.33 (SE= 0.21; Appendix H). Of the 30 females that were checked for reproduction by 31 August, only the 6 females known to have made successful nest attempts had fledged young. The resulting estimate of annual fecundity (number of female young produced per female owl) was 0.13 (SE=

0.05; Fig. 6, Appendix G). The overall mean fecundity estimate from 1990 to 2012 was 0.24 (SE= 0.01; Fig. 6, Appendix G).



Figure 6. Estimated annual fecundity of female spotted owls on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990-2012. Horizontal line indicates the mean of yearly means (0.22 ± 0.03 SE).

During the first decade of this study, nesting and reproductive estimates followed a cyclic biennial pattern with higher reproduction in even-numbered years. This pattern was not apparent during the latter decade of the study, during which high, low, and intermediate annual reproductive estimates occurred in both odd and even years (Fig. 6, Appendices D–H).

Problems Encountered:

Road closures and a reduction in forest road maintenance have greatly restricted access and resulted in considerable increase in the number of areas that need to be accessed on foot or by bicycle. Diminished access has led to increased survey times. This situation is not likely to change in the foreseeable future.

Research Plans for FY 13:

a. Continue demographic study with field work beginning in March 2013.
b. Continue to GPS historic spotted owl nest trees.

c. Meta-analysis workshop scheduled for winter 2013-14.

Publications and Technology Transfer Activities:

a. Conducted field trips with university students and professional organizations.

b. Provided demographic data to federal, state, and private organizations for their management activities.

c. Provided detailed summary information regarding survey results and territory status determinations to the Siuslaw National Forest and the Eugene, Coos Bay, and Salem Districts of the Bureau of Land Management.

d. Provided updates regarding the current occupancy and reproductive status of owl territories to Oregon Department of Forestry.

Duration of Study:

a. Initiated in FY1990.

b. Contingent upon future funding. Currently funded through FY 2013.

Literature Cited:

Anthony, R. G., E. D. Forsman, A. B. Franklin, D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham, G. C. White, C. J. Schwarz, J. Nichols, J. Hines, G. S. Olson, S. H. Ackers, S. Andrews, B. L. Biswell, P. C. Carlson, L. V. Diller, K. M. Dugger, K. E. Fehring, T. L. Fleming, R. P. Gerhardt, S. A. Gremel, R. J. Gutiérrez, P. Happe, D. R. Herter, J. M. Higley, R. B. Horn, L. L. Irwin, P. J. Loschl, J. A. Reid, and S. G. Sovern. 2006. Status and trends in demography of northern spotted owls. Wildlife Monographs 163:1–48.

Forsman, E. D., R. G. Anthony, K. M. Dugger, E. M. Glenn, A. B. Franklin, G. C. White, C. J. Schwarz, K. P. Burnham, D. R. Anderson, J. D. Nichols, J. E. Hines, J. B. Lint, R. J. Davis, S. H. Ackers, L. S. Andrews, B. L. Biswell, P. C. Carlson, L. V. Diller, S. A. Gremel, D. R. Herter, J. M. Higley, R. B. Horn, J. A. Reid, J. Rockweit, J. Schaberel, T. J. Snetsinger, and S. G. Sovern. 2011. Population demography of northern spotted owls. Studies in Avian Biology.

Lint, J., B. Noon, R. Anthony, E. Forsman, M. Raphael, M. Collopy, and E. Starkey. 1990. Northern spotted owl effectiveness monitoring plan for the Northwest Forest Plan. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-440. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Portland, OR.

Appendix A. Historic spotted owl sites surveyed per year and the number of these with spotted owl pairs, spotted owl singles, unknown status spotted owls, hybrid owls, mixed species pairs, and barred owls in the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990–2012. Additional same-sex individuals at a territory were excluded from the counts of pairs, singles, and unknown status owls.

Year	Sites Surveyed	Pairs[1]	Singles[2]	Unknown status[3]	Additional owls[4]	Additional owl sites	Hybrid owls[5]	Mixed spp. pairs[6]	Barred owls[7]
1990	138	63	39	5	4	4	0	0	3
1991	139	64	48	12	7	6	0	0	7
1992	166	93	30	7	5	5	0	0	10
1993	165	78	40	11	2	2	0	0	15
1994	168	105	26	9	5	5	0	1	14
1995	176	98	24	6	2	2	0	0	10
1996	182	104	27	4	0	0	0	2	20
1997	181	113	12	7	3	2	0	1	25
1998	191	116	23	5	4	4	1	1	38
1999	192	101	30	9	5	5	1	1	40
2000	199	98	27	9	7	7	1	1	52
2001	201	93	31	6	3	3	0	0	72
2002	203	87	34	9	4	4	0	0	78
2003	203	85	33	5	8	7	1	0	94
2004	203	83	27	3	10	8	2	2	89
2005	203	73	32	2	3	3	1	1	92
2006	203	61	41	2	2	2	3	2	129
2007	203	65	30	7	7	6	0	0	121
2008	203	59	19	4	1	1	1	1	129
2009	173	41	19	10	3	3	2	2	123
2010	172	46	22	3	2	2	1	1	115
2011	172	20	30	5	0	0	1	0	131
2012	172	29	26	2	2	2	1	0	140

[1]Sites in which a spotted owl pair was present. Spotted owls paired with barred owls or hybrid owls were categorized as singles (9 cases over all years).

[2]Sites in which a single spotted owl was present. If more than a single spotted owl was detected but the birds were of the same sex, it was classified as a single territory.

[3]Unknown status sites had detections of both a male and a female spotted owl, but the birds did not meet pair status.

[4]Additional owls were cases in which more than a single spotted owl of the same sex was detected.

[5]Hybrid owls were considered present if they were detected within the site boundary. Cases include: single hybrid owls (3), hybrid males at a territory occupied by a spotted owl (2), spotted owls paired with hybrid owls (4), hybrid owls paired with barred owls (5); a hybrid male paired with a barred owl at a territory occupied by a spotted owl (2).

[6]Mixed species pairs included territories in which at least one of the birds had some spotted owl ancestry and it was not a straight-forward spotted owl pair (e.g., spotted owl–hybrid owl, hybrid–barred owl, spotted owl–barred owl, etc.), but pair status was established to protocol (16 cases over all years).

[7]Barred owls were considered present if one was detected within 1.6 km of the most recent preceding spotted owl annual activity center.

Appendix B. Number of spotted owls banded on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990–2012.

	Adults		Subadults		
Year	Males	Females	Males	Females	Juveniles
1990	43	31	8	3	32
1991	25	23	2	4	7
1992	28	30	4	4	61
1993	6	8	1	0	13
1994	15	18	3	1	62
1995	5	8	1	2	13
1996	6	1	4	4	100
1997	3	6	3	0	36
1998	2	2	5	1	57
1999	3	5	1	1	10
2000	4	9	1	0	51
2001	1	1	0	3	97
2002	4	1	2	3	28
2003	2	1	1	2	5
2004	4	1	0	2	59
2005	3	2	1	0	24
2006	1	4	1	2	2
2007	3	3	0	0	31
2008	3	2	0	0	36
2009	2	1	3	0	1
2010	1	0	1	1	15
2011	2	1	0	0	0
2012	4	1	0	0	7
Total:	170	159	42	33	747

Appendix C. Number of spotted owls detected on historic sites in the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990–2012.

	Adults		Subadults		Age unk			
Year	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Sex Unk	Juveniles
1990	54	41	10	4	34	27	9	40
1991	78	59	7	4	35	23	1	10
1992	91	87	6	7	20	18	6	69
1993	85	79	4	0	32	18	2	14
1994	99	101	12	8	24	13	2	71
1995	110	97	3	3	15	6	0	15
1996	108	94	9	11	12	8	1	107
1997	115	110	8	6	6	9	1	37
1998	115	106	16	10	12	10	0	68
1999	115	104	3	5	15	7	5	13
2000	118	101	5	4	11	7	2	51
2001	106	87	3	4	17	12	3	107
2002	93	77	7	10	26	14	3	31
2003	95	81	7	7	22	5	4	5
2004	91	83	1	4	16	11	3	65
2005	74	76	6	5	11	9	4	32
2006	70	63	2	3	16	10	5	2
2007	71	63	1	2	17	18	9	33
2008	62	52	1	2	15	13	1	38
2009	45	46	3	1	12	12	5	1
2010	47	43	4	1	13	10	4	19
2011	25	24	0	0	15	12	4	0
2012	36	32	0	0	14	4	4	8

Appendix D. Proportion of female spotted owls that nested on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study, 1990–2012. Estimates were calculated for paired or single females whose nesting status was determined by 1 June.

	n			Nesting Adults		Nesting Subadults		Combined	
Year	Adults	Subadults	Unk	Prop.	95% *CI*.	Prop.	95% *CI*.	Prop.	95% *CI*
1990	20	2	7	0.90	0.68-0.99	0.50	0.01-0.99	0.83	0.64-0.94
1991	38	1	0	0.16	0.06-0.31	0.00	0.00-0.98	0.15	0.06-0.31
1992	66	6	4	0.71	0.59-0.82	0.50	0.12-0.88	0.68	0.57-0.79
1993	66	0	2	0.24	0.15-0.36	——	————	0.25	0.15-0.37
1994	84	5	2	0.68	0.57-0.78	0.40	0.05-0.85	0.65	0.54-0.75
1995	84	3	0	0.17	0.09-0.26	0.00	0.00-0.71	0.16	0.09-0.26
1996	84	8	3	0.82	0.72-0.90	0.63	0.24-0.91	0.80	0.71-0.88
1997	100	6	0	0.42	0.32-0.52	0.00	0.00-0.46	0.40	0.30-0.50
1998	96	8	3	0.61	0.51-0.71	0.25	0.03-0.65	0.60	0.50-0.69
1999	91	2	1	0.18	0.10-0.27	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.17	0.10-0.26
2000	85	2	0	0.54	0.43-0.65	0.50	0.01-0.99	0.54	0.43-0.65
2001	75	2	2	0.87	0.77-0.93	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.85	0.75-0.92
2002	64	8	4	0.55	0.42-0.67	0.00	0.00-0.37	0.49	0.37-0.60
2003	64	5	0	0.06	0.02-0.15	0.00	0.00-0.52	0.06	0.02-0.14
2004	66	2	2	0.79	0.67-0.88	0.50	0.01-0.99	0.79	0.67-0.87
2005	71	4	1	0.46	0.35-0.59	0.25	0.01-0.81	0.45	0.33-0.57
2006	47	2	1	0.06	0.01-0.18	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.06	0.01-0.17
2007	48	1	0	0.63	0.47-0.76	0.00	0.00-0.98	0.61	0.46-0.75
2008	52	1	5	0.73	0.59-0.84	0.00	0.00-0.98	0.72	0.59-0.83
2009	33	1	0	0.06	0.01-0.20	0.00	0.00-0.98	0.06	0.01-0.20
2010	33	2	2	0.88	0.72-0.97	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.84	0.68-0.94
2011	18	0	0	0.00	0.00-0.19	——	————	0.00	0.00-0.19
2012	27	0	1	0.44	0.25-0.65	——	————	0.43	0.24-0.63
Overall:	1412	71	40	0.49	0.46-0.52	0.23	0.13-0.34	0.48	0.46-0.51

Appendix E. Proportion of female spotted owls that fledged young on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990-2012. Estimates were calculated for paired or single females for which the number of young fledged was determined before 31 August.

	n			Adults		Subadults		Combined	
Year	Adults	Subadults	Unk	Prop.	95% *CI*	Prop.	95% *CI*	Prop.	95% *CI*.
1990	34	4	14	0.71	0.53-0.85	0.50	0.07-0.93	0.62	0.47-0.75
1991	51	2	2	0.12	0.04-0.24	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.13	0.05-0.24
1992	78	7	4	0.54	0.42-0.65	0.14	0.00-0.58	0.48	0.38-0.59
1993	70	0	3	0.11	0.05-0.21	——	————	0.12	0.06-0.22
1994	95	6	3	0.48	0.38-0.59	0.00	0.00-0.46	0.45	0.35-0.55
1995	91	3	1	0.10	0.05-0.18	0.00	0.00-0.71	0.09	0.04-0.17
1996	93	10	6	0.67	0.56-0.76	0.40	0.12-0.74	0.63	0.54-0.72
1997	109	6	1	0.24	0.16-0.33	0.00	0.00-0.46	0.23	0.16-0.32
1998	100	9	3	0.41	0.31-0.51	0.11	0.00-0.48	0.38	0.29-0.47
1999	99	3	3	0.08	0.04-0.15	0.00	0.00-0.71	0.09	0.04-0.16
2000	97	4	0	0.33	0.24-0.43	0.25	0.01-0.81	0.33	0.24-0.43
2001	87	4	4	0.68	0.57-0.77	0.00	0.00-0.60	0.65	0.55-0.75
2002	75	9	4	0.27	0.17-0.38	0.00	0.00-0.34	0.24	0.15-0.34
2003	80	8	1	0.05	0.01-0.12	0.00	0.00-0.37	0.04	0.01-0.11
2004	86	2	5	0.51	0.40-0.62	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.49	0.39-0.60
2005	74	4	2	0.32	0.22-0.44	0.00	0.00-0.60	0.30	0.20-0.41
2006	63	3	1	0.03	0.00-0.11	0.00	0.00-0.71	0.03	0.00-0.10
2007	63	2	0	0.38	0.26-0.51	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.37	0.25-0.50
2008	55	2	5	0.47	0.34-0.61	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.42	0.30-0.55
2009	46	2	0	0.02	0.00-0.12	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.02	0.00-0.11
2010	43	2	4	0.30	0.17-0.46	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.31	0.18-0.45
2011	21	0	0	0.00	0.00-0.16	——	————	0.00	0.00-0.16
2012	29	0	1	0.21	0.08-0.40	——	————	0.20	0.08-0.39
Overall:	1639	92	67	0.32	0.30-0.34	0.10	0.05-0.18	0.31	0.29-0.33

Appendix F. Proportion of nesting female spotted owls that fledged young on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990-2012. Estimates were calculated for paired or single females whose nesting status was determined by 1 June.

	n			Adults		Subadults		Combined	
Year	Adults	Subadults	Unk	Prop.	95% *CI*	Prop.	95% *CI*	Prop.	95% *CI*
1990	17	1	5	0.82	0.57-0.96	1.00	0.03-1.00	0.74	0.52-0.90
1991	6	0	0	0.67	0.22-0.96	——	————	0.67	0.22-0.96
1992	46	3	2	0.85	0.71-0.94	0.33	0.01-0.91	0.78	0.65-0.89
1993	15	0	1	0.53	0.27-0.79	——	————	0.50	0.25-0.75
1994	57	2	0	0.75	0.62-0.86	0.00	0.00-0.84	0.73	0.60-0.84
1995	14	0	0	0.64	0.35-0.87	——	————	0.64	0.35-0.87
1996	69	5	2	0.80	0.68-0.88	0.60	0.15-0.95	0.78	0.67-0.86
1997	42	0	0	0.62	0.46-0.76	——	————	0.62	0.46-0.76
1998	59	2	3	0.69	0.56-0.81	0.50	0.01-0.99	0.66	0.53-0.77
1999	16	0	0	0.50	0.25-0.75	——	————	0.50	0.25-0.75
2000	46	1	0	0.65	0.50-0.79	1.00	0.03-1.00	0.66	0.51–0.79
2001	65	0	2	0.83	0.72-0.91	——	————	0.82	0.71-0.90
2002	35	0	2	0.54	0.37-0.71	——	————	0.54	0.37–0.71
2003	4	0	0	1.00	0.40-1.00	——	————	1.00	0.40-1.00
2004	52	1	2	0.79	0.65-0.89	0.00	0.00-0.98	0.75	0.61-0.85
2005	30	1	0	0.77	0.58-0.90	0.00	0.00-0.98	0.74	0.55-0.88
2006	3	0	0	0.67	0.09-0.99	——	————	0.67	0.09-0.99
2007	29	0	0	0.76	0.56-0.90	——	————	0.76	0.56-0.90
2008	37	0	3	0.65	0.47-0.80	——	————	0.60	0.43-0.75
2009	2	0	0	0.50	0.01-0.99	——	————	0.50	0.01-0.99
2010	27	0	2	0.41	0.22-0.61	——	————	0.41	0.24-0.61
2011	0	0	0	——	————	——	————	——	————
2012	12	0	0	0.50	0.21-0.79	——	————	0.50	0.21-0.79
Overall:	683	16	24	0.71	0.67-0.74	0.44	0.20-0.70	0.69	0.65-0.72

Appendix G. Estimated mean fecundity ($\hat{b}$) of female spotted owls on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990-2012. Fecundity was defined as the number of female young produced per female, assuming a 1:1 sex ratio of offspring. Estimates were calculated for any female for which the number of young fledged was determined before 31 August.

	n			Adults		Subadults		Combined	
Year	Adults	Subadults	Unk	$\hat{b}_A$	*SE*	$\hat{b}_S$	*SE*	$\hat{b}$	*SE*
1990	34	4	14	0.47	0.06	0.25	0.14	0.40	0.05
1991	51	2	2	0.09	0.04	0.25	0.25	0.10	0.04
1992	78	7	4	0.42	0.05	0.14	0.14	0.38	0.05
1993	70	0	3	0.09	0.03	——	——	0.10	0.03
1994	95	6	3	0.37	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.04
1995	91	3	1	0.08	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.03
1996	93	10	6	0.52	0.04	0.35	0.15	0.50	0.04
1997	109	6	1	0.17	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.03
1998	100	9	3	0.32	0.04	0.11	0.11	0.29	0.04
1999	99	3	3	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.02
2000	97	4	0	0.26	0.04	0.13	0.13	0.25	0.04
2001	87	4	4	0.59	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.05
2002	75	9	4	0.19	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.04
2003	80	8	1	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01
2004	86	2	5	0.40	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.04
2005	74	4	2	0.24	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.04
2006	63	3	1	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02
2007	63	2	0	0.29	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.05
2008	55	2	5	0.38	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.06
2009	46	2	0	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
2010	43	2	4	0.22	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.05
2011	20	0	0	0.00	0.00	——	——	0.00	0.00
2012	29	0	1	0.14	0.05	——	——	0.13	0.05
Overall:	1638	92	67	0.25	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.24	0.01

Appendix H. Mean brood size of female spotted owls on the Oregon Coast Ranges Study Area, 1990-2012. Mean brood size was defined as the number of young produced per female that fledged at least one young before 31 August.

	n			Adults		Subadults		Combined	
Year	Adults	Subadults	Unk	$\bar{x}$	*SE*	$\bar{x}$	*SE*	$\bar{x}$	*SE*
1990	24	2	6	1.33	0.10	1.00	0.00	1.31	0.08
1991	6	0	1	1.50	0.22	——	——	1.43	0.20
1992	42	1	0	1.57	0.08	2.00	——	1.58	0.08
1993	8	0	1	1.50	0.19	——	——	1.56	0.18
1994	46	0	1	1.52	0.07	——	——	1.51	0.07
1995	9	0	0	1.67	0.17	——	——	1.67	0.17
1996	62	4	3	1.56	0.06	1.75	0.25	1.58	0.06
1997	26	0	1	1.38	0.10	——	——	1.37	0.09
1998	41	1	0	1.56	0.09	2.00	——	1.57	0.08
1999	8	0	1	1.50	0.19	——	——	1.44	0.18
2000	32	1	0	1.56	0.09	1.00	——	1.55	0.09
2001	59	0	3	1.75	0.06	——	——	1.76	0.06
2002	20	0	1	1.45	0.11	——	——	1.43	0.11
2003	4	0	0	1.25	0.25	——	——	1.25	0.25
2004	44	0	2	1.57	0.08	——	——	1.57	0.07
2005	24	0	0	1.46	0.10	——	——	1.46	0.10
2006	2	0	0	2.00	0.00	——	——	2.00	0.00
2007	24	0	0	1.50	0.10	——	——	1.50	0.10
2008	26	0	0	1.62	0.11	——	——	1.62	0.11
2009	1	0	0	1.00	——	——	——	1.00	——
2010	13	0	2	1.46	0.14	——	——	1.40	0.13
2011	0	0	0	——	——	——	——	——	——
2012	6	0	0	1.33	0.21	——	——	1.33	0.21
Overall:	527	9	22	1.54	0.02	1.56	0.18	1.54	0.02